

REMARKS:

The Notice indicated that the earlier-filed response was non-compliant for the following reasons:


- A. A complete listing of all claims was not present.
- B. The listing of claims did not include the text of all claims.
- C. Each claim had not been provided with the proper status identifier.
- D. The claims of the amendment paper had not been presented in ascending numerical order.
- E. Other (with no other explanation).

After reviewing the earlier-filed response, Applicants noted that the listing of claims omitted claims 1 to 30, which have been cancelled. In order to correct this oversight, Applicants are submitting a complete listing of the claims with this paper. See 37 CFR 1.121(h). The listing includes claims 1 to 30 and an indication that those claims have been cancelled, thereby addressing items A, B and C in the Notice.

Items D and E do not appear to be applicable to the earlier-filed response. In particular, listed claims 31 to 58 were in ascending numerical order, and no indication of the "Other" reason for non-compliance was given. As an abundance of caution, however, the Examiner is encouraged to phone if applicant's attorneys are believed incorrect.

Applicants' undersigned attorney can be reached at (614) 486-3585. All correspondence should continue to be directed to the address indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,



Dane C. Butzer
Reg. No. 43,521

Dated: April 14, 2004

Swernofsky Law Group PC
P.O. Box 390013
Mountain View, CA 94039-0013
(650) 947-0700